

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office-Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,813	09/11/2006	Barry Allen	ETF-0040	4455
23413 CANTOR CO	7590 02/05/2008		EXAM	INER
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 20 Church Street			NATARAJAN, MEERA	
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , ,			1643	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/567,813	ALLEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Meera Natarajan	1643
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan stion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensious S	ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application

10/567,813 Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9, drawn to a radioimmunoconjugate comprising an alphaemitting radionuclide bound to a monoclonal antibody.

Group II, claim(s) 10-13, drawn to the use of a radioconjugate.

Group III, claim(s) 14-20, drawn to a method of treatment of a mammal affected by a cancer which comprises administering to said mammal a therapeutically effective amount of the radiopharmaceutical of Group I.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature recited in Claim 1 is a radioimmunoconjugate comprising an alpha-emitting radionuclide bound to the monoclonal antibody, C595. In view of this Allen et al. (Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. Vol. 39(1-2), pp.139-146), 2001) and Denton et al. (Br. J. Cancer, Vol. 76(5), pp.614-621, 1997) read on the claim. Allen et al. teach alpha emitting radioisotopes conjugated to cancer specific monoclonal antibodies to form alpha-immunoconjugates against melanoma, leukemia, prostate and colorectal cancer.

Denton et al. teach production and characterization of a monoclonal antibody, C595,

10/567,813 Art Unit: 1643

which is reactive with human carcinomas. Therefore, it would be obvious to use the cancer specific antibody, C595, and conjugate it with alpha emitting radioisotopes to form alpha-radioimmunoconjugates against human carcinomas. Therefore, the technical feature recited in Claim 1 is not special. Accordingly the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If any group is elected, Applicant must elect one species from the following:

- Alpha emitting radionuclide: Tb-149, At-211, Bi-212, Bi-213, Ac-225
- Chelating Agent: DOTA, cDTPA, DTPA-CHX-A, TETA

If Groups II or III are elected, Applicant must elect one species from the following:

Cancer: Breast, Prostate, Ovarian, Pancreatic

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

10/567,813 Art Unit: 1643

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a). The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 2, 3, 6, 13, and 15.

- 4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the reasons listed above in paragraph 2.
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during

Application/Control Number:

10/567,813 Art Unit: 1643

prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result** in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number:

10/567,813 Art Unit: 1643

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meera Natarajan whose telephone number is 571-270-3058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:30AM-6:00PM, ALT. Friday. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MN

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER